

MSc Exam Review

Name of student	St. Nr.	Course code	EC	Date

Colloquium

Description	Aspect	Mark	Comments
Was the presentation adequately structured?	Structure		
Was the presentation audible and lively presented, making use of adequate audiovisual aids?	Presentation skills		
What was the general impression of the presentation? Did the presentation provide a sufficient impression of the work? Did the presenter stick to the allotted time (20-25 minutes)?	Overall impression		

Content Graduation Report

Description	Aspect	Mark	Comments
Does the title of the report reflect the topic sufficiently and clearly?	Title		
Does the abstract contain a sufficient summary of the work done?	Abstract		
Does the introduction present the importance of the topic and position it based on recent literature?	Relevance		
Does the introduction present a clear objective?	Objective		
If applicable: have the experimental set-up and experimental methodology been described accurately? Is the set-up suitable in view of the objectives? Has an error / accuracy analysis been executed?	(if applicable) Experimental work		
Is the processing of information original and technically correct, showing critical (scientific) attitude?	Processing		
Does the report include innovative ideas / designs / design strategies? Does it contribute to new technology development?	Innovative character		
Is theory, methods and/or tools effectively applied / developed to provide the solution?	Theory		
Is the analysis clear and is the discussion original and technically correct?	Analysis & Discussion		
Does the report cover the relevant topics adequately?	Completeness		
Are the conclusions sound and justifiable?	Conclusion		
Does the report evaluate the societal and ethical effects of the work?	Societal & ethical aspects		

Name of student:

St. Nr.:

Organization Graduation Report & Clarity in Writing

Description	Aspect	Mark	Comments
Is the content of the report properly organized (chapters, sections)? including: title page, abstract, nomenclature, introduction, methods, results, discussion, conclusion, page numbering	Organization		
Is the presentation clear to readers familiar with the field?	Presentation		
Is the report free of the typographical and grammatical errors?	English		
Is the acknowledgement of the work of others by references and citations done properly?	Bibliography		
Are the figures and tables all necessary and acceptable? Are all figures and tables readable independently of the body text and referred to in the body text?	Illustrations & Tables		
Are all equations clear and symbols explicitly described? Is the notation consistent with the common literature?	Equations & Nomenclature		

Graduation Report Process

Description	Aspect	Mark	Comments
Did the student process feedback adequately?	Feedback		
Did the student manage his / her time adequately?	Time management		
Degree of supervision required by the student	Initiative		
Was the student competent in working in a multidisciplinary environment?	Team work & communication		

MSc Exam Defense

Description	Aspect	Mark	Comments
Does the student answer questions logically and with sound argumentation?	Questions		
Does the student demonstrate sufficient knowledge of the relevant engineering principles?	Knowledge		
Is the student competent in discerning the main aspects from the details of the thesis work?	Overview		
Does the student demonstrate a solid and confident personality in the discussion?	Personality		

Name of reviewer	Grade of reviewer	Final Grade

Notice that grades for each aspect are given in the scale from 1.0 to 10.0. Where 1.0 is very poor, 6.0 is satisfactory and 10.0 is excellent. The final mark is a number on the scale between 1-10 rounded to the nearest 0.5.