

# NATIONAL STUDENT SURVEY



	THE STUDY PROGRAMME IN GENERAL	THE GENERAL ATMOSPHERE IN THE STUDY PROGRAMME	WOULD THE PROGRAMME BE RECOMMENDED TO FRIENDS OR FAMILY?
RESPONSE	2018	2018	2018
	<b>31,5%</b>	<b>4,02</b>	<b>4,46</b>
N	2017	2017	2017
	<b>93</b>	<b>4,12</b>	<b>4,50</b>

## 2018 B KLIN TECHN (JD) DELFT VT

VS. OTHER UNIVERSITIES



# OVERZICHT

## CONTENT

- 3,84 ✕ 3,93 The level of your study programme
- 3,72 ✕ 3,86 The degree to which the content of your study programme meets your expectations
- 3,99 ✕ 3,84 The match between your prior education and your study programme
- 3,92 ✕ 3,91 The degree to which you find your study programme to be stimulating
- 4,11 ✕ 4,15 The match between the content of your study programme and current developments
- 3,45 ✕ 3,50 The coherence between the various components of your programme
- 3,78 ✕ 3,82 The methods used in your study programme
- 3,76 ✕ 3,80 The intrinsic quality of the study materials
- ✕ The match to your work experience
- ✕ The degree to which you can apply what you learn in your work situation

## GENERAL SKILLS

- 4,05 ✕ 4,06 Learning to have a critical attitude
- 3,87 ✕ 3,97 Problem-solving skills
- 3,88 ✕ 3,78 Substantiating conclusions
- 4,28 ✕ 4,17 Communication skills
- 4,15 ✕ 4,21 Collaboration with others
- 3,70 ✕ 3,59 Argumentation / reasoning skills

## ACADEMIC RESEARCH

- 3,99 ✕ 3,90 Analytical thinking
- 4,49 ▲ 4,29 The critical assessment of academic research
- 4,11 ▲ 3,81 Reporting on academic research in writing
- 4,10 ✕ 4,08 Methods and techniques of academic research
- 3,71 ✕ 3,58 Conducting academic research

## CAREER PREPARATION

- 3,38 ✕ 3,45 Acquiring skills for professional practice
- 3,24 ✕ 3,42 The practical focus of your study programme
- 3,09 ✕ 3,11 The link to professional practice (e.g. work placements/internships, guest speakers)

## LECTURERS

- 4,21 ✕ 4,18 Your lecturers' expertise
- 3,60 ✕ 3,46 Your lecturers' didactic qualities
- 3,47 ✕ 3,53 The accessibility of lecturers outside contact hours
- 3,64 ✕ 3,70 The lecturers' sense of involvement with their students
- 3,56 ✕ 3,57 The quality of guidance provided by lecturers
- 3,37 ✕ 3,43 The quality of feedback provided by lecturers
- 3,64 ✕ 3,67 The degree to which lecturers are inspiring
- 4,06 ✕ 4,21 Lecturers' understanding of the field of professional practice
- 2,90 ✕ 2,95 Lecturers' proficiency in the English language

## ACADEMIC GUIDANCE/COUNSELLING

- 3,51 ✕ 3,57 The opportunity for receiving guidance/counselling
- 3,53 ✕ 3,43 The quality of the guidance/counselling offered
- 3,03 ✕ 3,07 The degree to which your study programme shows initiative in providing support or guidance

## TESTING AND ASSESSMENT

- 3,55 ✕ 3,46 The clarity of the assessment criteria
- 3,73 ✕ 3,55 The link between testing and assessment and the content of the study programme
- 3,61 ✕ 3,46 The quality of assessment of knowledge and understanding
- 3,49 ✕ 3,35 The quality of skills assessment

## INFORMATION

- 4,04 ✕ 3,98 The information on your study progress
- 3,54 ✕ 3,39 The information on rules and procedures
- 2,82 ✕ 2,88 The information on the structure of the programme (tracks, minors, studying abroad, etc.)
- 3,03 ✕ 2,78 The timely publication of results and assessments

## PROGRAMME SCHEDULES

- 3,89 ▲ 1,96 The timely publication of the programme schedules
- 3,50 ▲ 2,59 The timely notification of schedule changes
- 2,95 ✕ 2,89 The educational feasibility of the programme schedule
- 3,35 ✕ 3,14 The number of scheduled hours of education

## STUDY LOAD

- 3,34 ✕ 3,53 The distribution of the study load over the academic year
- 3,49 ✕ 3,42 The feasibility of deadlines
- 3,78 ✕ 3,65 The degree to which credits (ECs) correspond to the actual study load
- 3,30 ✕ 3,32 The ability to take the desired course components without encountering a delay
- ✕ The possibility to combine work and education

## GROUP SIZE

- 4,46 ✕ 4,38 The group size for tutorials
- 4,43 ✕ 4,44 The group size for lectures
- 4,49 ✕ 4,32 The ratio of small-scale to large-scale teaching activities in my study programme

## INTERNSHIPS AND STUDY PROGRAMME

- 2,88 ✕ 2,56 The quality of the internship supervision you received from your study programme
- 2,56 ✕ 2,44 The preparation for the internship you received from your study programme

## INTERNSHIP EXPERIENCE

- 3,44 ✕ 2,94 The supervision you received at your internship company, institute or agency
- 3,56 ✕ 2,94 What you learned during your internship
- 3,00 ✕ 2,33 The link between your internships and the rest of your study programme

## STUDY FACILITIES

- 3,35 ▼ 3,70 The suitability of the classrooms
- 3,34 ✕ 3,59 The suitability of workplaces (e.g. computers, study rooms of sufficient quality)
- 2,96 ▼ 3,29 The availability of workstations (e.g. sufficient number of workstations)
- 3,70 ✕ 3,81 The library / media centre
- 3,64 ✕ 3,74 The IT facilities
- 3,85 ✕ 3,72 The digital learning environment
- ✕ The digital library/media centre

## QUALITY CARE

- 3,82 ✕ 3,94 Teaching evaluations held among students
- 2,92 ✕ 2,97 Information on the results of teaching evaluations
- 3,37 ✕ 3,45 The way your study programme uses the results of teaching evaluations
- 3,42 ✕ 3,46 The way your study programme responds to complaints and problems

## CHALLENGING EDUCATION

- 3,74 ✕ 3,76 The extent to which your education stimulates you
- 2,94 ✕ 3,14 The extent to which your education enables you to follow your interest
- 3,19 ✕ 3,22 The extent to which your education motivates you to
- 3,73 ✕ 3,80 The extent to which students inspire each other to achieve/succeed/achieve something

## INTERNATIONALIZATION

- 2,27 ✕ 2,23 The extent to which you're stimulated to study abroad
- 2,26 ✕ 2,27 The extent to which you're stimulated to become acquainted with other cultures
- 2,17 ✕ 2,35 The extent to which the study programme pays attention to international aspects
- 2,38 ✕ 2,33 The possibilities offered by your education to study or to follow an internship abroad

3,00 OBTAINED SCORE THIS YEAR  
4,00 OBTAINED SCORE LAST YEAR

■ SIGNIFICANTLY HIGHER/LOWER SCORE THAN COMPARISON GROUP

■ NO SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCE VS. COMPARISON GROUP

▲ ▼ SIGNIFICANTLY HIGHER/LOWER SCORE THAN LAST YEAR

✕ NO SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCE VS. LAST YEAR