

I think this is an excellent alternative.  
As far as I'm concerned, I agree!

Regards,  
Ewoud

Hi,  
This isn't really my area. I don't object. Maybe Ewoud knows what the implications and possible objections could be. I'm pretty sure we can't double the amount of exams in the q4 exam weeks. 😊  
Hope this is clear enough. Good luck, Nelie

**From:** Carey Walters - 3ME <[C.L.Walters@tudelft.nl](mailto:C.L.Walters@tudelft.nl)>  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 2, 2020 3:00 PM  
**To:** Nelie-Roos Hamelinck <[C.R.M.Hamelinck@tudelft.nl](mailto:C.R.M.Hamelinck@tudelft.nl)>  
**Cc:** Marcel Hermans - 3ME <[M.J.M.Hermans@tudelft.nl](mailto:M.J.M.Hermans@tudelft.nl)>; Peter de Vos - 3ME <[P.deVos@tudelft.nl](mailto:P.deVos@tudelft.nl)>; Ewoud van Luik - 3ME <[E.P.vanLuik@tudelft.nl](mailto:E.P.vanLuik@tudelft.nl)>; Robert Hekkenberg - 3ME <[R.G.Hekkenberg@tudelft.nl](mailto:R.G.Hekkenberg@tudelft.nl)>  
**Subject:** RE: Alternative assessment methods for MT2432

Hi Nelie-Roos,

I have been contacted by three students on behalf of the rest of them. They propose to have the exam in the second week of Q4. I have also spoke with Marcel and with one of the students (who also happens to be the Collegerama person for this course). Marcel and the other student suggest that it could be done in the early-to-mid Q4.

The students who contacted me on behalf of their peers have indicated that they have already spoken with Theun, and Theun says that he approves contingent upon approval of Robert and the instructor.

Therefore, I propose one last thing:

- Two exams
- One is held in the timeframe of 28 April through 8 May
- The re-sit is scheduled at the time of the original re-sit

I approve of this. Based on the email of the students, I expect that Theun would approve. Based on interactions with Marcel, I expect that he approves. Based on the emails of the students and my interaction with the one student today, I am quite confident that the students would approve.

I have a meeting with the students at 16:00. Could you give even a tentative reaction by then?

@Robert: What do you think of this idea?

-Carey

**From:** Nelie-Roos Hamelinck <[C.R.M.Hamelinck@tudelft.nl](mailto:C.R.M.Hamelinck@tudelft.nl)>  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 2, 2020 2:08 PM  
**To:** Carey Walters - 3ME <[C.L.Walters@tudelft.nl](mailto:C.L.Walters@tudelft.nl)>

**Cc:** Marcel Hermans - 3ME <[M.J.M.Hermans@tudelft.nl](mailto:M.J.M.Hermans@tudelft.nl)>; Peter de Vos - 3ME <[P.deVos@tudelft.nl](mailto:P.deVos@tudelft.nl)>;  
Ewoud van Luik - 3ME <[E.P.vanLuik@tudelft.nl](mailto:E.P.vanLuik@tudelft.nl)>; Robert Hekkenberg - 3ME  
<[R.G.Hekkenberg@tudelft.nl](mailto:R.G.Hekkenberg@tudelft.nl)>

**Subject:** RE: Alternative assessment methods for MT2432

Dear all,

This morning we discussed the 3-exam proposal in a BoE-meeting.

It was decided that the 3 exams-proposal is not approved, and that given the situation, the q3 exam cannot take place.

good intentions much appreciated! Sorry to disappoint.

Kind regards, Nelie-Roos

\*\*\*\*\*