PROCEDURE

Proposing changes in exams for online exams for Q4 & Q5 courses

Because of covid-19, in many courses the form of examination must be modified in Q4 and Q5. In situations such as these, the Board of Examiners (BoE) may allow you to deviate from the agreed type of examination and method of assessment, e.g. to facilitate an online assessment. Changes in examination include:

- 1.1. Changing the assessment's method, e.g. written (open or closed book, paper, etc.)
- 1.2. Changing multiple exams to fewer exams
- 1.3. Adjusting the weighting of exams

This document describes the procedure for examiners to propose changes in their examination to the BoE. This is mandatory for all changes in examination method, without approval by the BoE a modified exam can be declared invalid.

Please use the standards for alternative assessment and Best Practices documents to make your proposal.

Procedure: Proposing Alternative Assessment For Written Examination

- No later than 2 weeks before the exam period the examiner sends the proposal form for alternative examination (see below) to Ewoud van Luik and Sabrina van de Velde (3mE Education organisation) and cc to Linette Bossen and Puk Sies.
- 2. BoE discusses the proposals in consultation with the Educational Coordinators and Educational director and makes a decision.
- 3. The examiner is informed of the decision by email.
- 4. The examiner informs students about the changed exam(s) as soon as possible, though at the very latest 4 working days prior to the exam.

Proposals will be processed and answered as soon as possible. Urgent requests can be sent to <u>3mE</u> <u>Education organisation</u> and <u>BoE</u>.

Proposal: Proposing Alternative Assessment Q4 & Q5

Send proposal to: $\underline{3mE}$ Education organisation and cc to $\underline{R.H.Bossen@tudelft.nl}$ and $\underline{P.H.J.sies@tudelft.nl}$

Please describe in email subject: Proposing Alternative Assessment Q4 & Q5

Original exam date	June 30, 2020
Responsible teacher	Vasso Reppa, Rudy Negenborn
Course	Multi-Machine Coordination for Logistics
Course code	ME44300
Group size students	111
Original methods of assessment	Assignment (report based on project in groups of 4) + individual written exam
Weighing original assessment (final grade)	40% project report + 60% individual written exam
If written exam: Alternative type of assessment/ proposed assessment	Assignment (report + presentation based on project in groups of 4) + individual oral exam. We propose to include a power point presentation in the deliverables of the project, and to change the individual written exam to an individual oral exam with questions on the group project. The oral exam will be scheduled per group, and will last for 1 hour (15 minutes presentation and 45 minutes for questioning all students individually). Each group will be able to select the convenient time slot through Brightspace. The time slots will span over two weeks. The questions to each student will be related to the group project, but also in genera Ito the content of the lectures and reading material.
If not written, short description of proposed alternative assessment/ proposed assessment.	
Weighing alternative assessment (final grade)	70% project report&presentation + 30% individual oral exam
If written exam, tool	Choose an item.
Fraud prevention measures	\square Oath of integrity 1

 $^{^{1}}$ Oath of integrity: Mandatory Fraud Prevention

	□Oral check after exam²
	☐Shuffled questions (The order of difficulty for questions must be the same for students)
	□Parametrize questions ³
	☑Different versions of one question (level of difficulty must be the same)
	□Shorter timeslot
	☐Use of several time slots for different questions ⁴
	☐Shuffling answers multiple choice
	□Check handwritten explanations/ answers on similarity ⁵
	□Written explanation is added to closed questions
	⊠Webcam monitoring (motivate necessity):
	presentations and oral exams can take place via YouSeeU (we are using this throughout the course)
	□Additional measures: (add short description):
Expectation	□ Practice with example questions ⁶
management for	☑ Practice with exam procedure (for example tooling and uploading scans):
students	Already during the course, students use the BrightSpace Assignment feature to upload materials; in
	intermediate feedback and during lectures sessions they get familiar with the YouSeeU environment.
Confirmation	⊠Students receive confirmation email of submitted exam:
	Students can see in BrightSpace which files they have submitted.

² Oral check: Mandatory Fraud Prevention For Written Exams (no more than 30% of students, up to 30 students).

³ Parametrization: Ask students the same numerical question, but with different numbers, so they cannot exchange the numbers.

⁴ The assignment/ questions can be strictly timed or spread out over a longer time frame. If you use this fraud prevention please make sure that students have enough time depending on the difficulty level of each question.

⁵ Have students upload pictures of handwritten assignments. Allow them enough time to do this.

 $^{^6}$ Mandatory: Prior to the exam students receive sample questions of the same type of questions they can expect on the exam.