### **Simulation and Control of a pneumatically actuated dynamic walking robot**

**MSc Project in the Hosoda laboratory, Osaka University**

**Final presentation in Japan**

**July 19, 2006 Maarten Wit** マーテン ウィット







空脚







1

**Delft University of Technology** 

**Systems and Control Delft Biorobotics lab** 

### **Overview**

- Introduction
- My project
- •Model of biped robot *Que-kaku* (空脚)
- Model of pneumatic actuator
- Dynamic simulation with timing control
- Controller design
- Conclusions



# **Problem statement**

- $\bullet$ Trajectory following robots are not energy efficient
- Dynamic walking robots have a limited stability
- $\bullet$ Goal: Design a Limit Cycle Controller (LCC) for an actuated dynamic walking robot. The controller should improve the robot's stability.



## **My project steps:**

- $\checkmark$  Make dynamic model and simulation of pneumatic walking robot (Que-kaku) in Matlab.
- $\checkmark$  Make model of McKibben muscles.
- $\checkmark$  Implement McKibben muscles in the simulation.
- $\checkmark$  Evaluate current timing based controllers (FF)
- $\checkmark$  Simulate and implement FB controller [Takuma]
- $\checkmark$  Simplest walker model to 'predict' the next step



#### **Robot in Hosoda laboratory**

July 19, 2006  $\sim$  3006  $\sim$  30

•Based on *Mike* by DBL •2D biped robot with knees •Actuated by McKibben pneumatic muscles

#### **Robot model definition**



## **Robot model definition (2)**

For the four parts of the robot, the parameters are:

- Length from knee-joint to hip-joint or to the floor.
- •Mass
- Center of Mass (distance of CoM to higher joint)
- $\bullet$ Inertia
- For the lower legs: Shape and location of the feet

For the dynamic walking robots these parameters greatly determine the walking behavior and stability of the robot



## **Preliminary experiments**

Change valve opening durations independently:

- Se Extensor exhaust duration
- Sf Flexor supply duration

Observe the muscle pressure, hip angles and step time Walking in different environments:

- Treadmill on low and high velocity
- •Linoleum floor
- $\bullet$ Carpet



## **Preliminary experiments (2)**

•The **step time** increases with the valve opening times  $\rightarrow$ 

•The **hip angle** at moment of heel strike increases with the valve opening times

•The muscle **pressure** increases with *Sf* but decreases with Se





### **McKibben pneumatic muscles**

- Efficient actuation for dynamic walking robots
- Difficult to control due to nonlinear behavior
- •• A simple linear force model is made, based on static measurements



[Shadow company: shadow.org.uk]



## **McKibben pneumatic muscles (2)**



- Pressure = f(supply pressure, open times)
- $\bullet$ Natural length  $=$  f(muscle properties, pressure)
- $\bullet$ Extension =  $f(natural length, robot state)$



#### **McKibben muscle model**

**Analytical model:** 
$$
f = \frac{b^2 P}{4\pi n^2} \left( 3\frac{L^2}{b^2} - 1 \right)
$$
 [van der Linde '01]

Simple linear model:

- Stiffness:
- $\bullet$ Damping:

$$
f = K_f \cdot ext + D_f \cdot e\dot{x}t
$$

$$
K_f = c_1 \cdot pressure
$$

$$
D_f = K_f \cdot c_2
$$



#### **McKibben muscle model (2)**





#### **Using McKibben muscles**

To control a simple joint, 2 muscles are needed: an **extensor** and a **flexor** muscle. The resulting joint torque depends on:

•Force of the two muscles•Moment arm of the muscles

$$
\tau = \left(f_{extensor} - f_{flexor}\right) arm
$$

**Stiffness** and **compliance** can be controlled by changing the two forces simultaneously





## **Controller design**

- Design a Limit Cycle Controller (LCC) to improve the robot's stability and robustness *Only the hip joint is considered in this project* Controllers:
- Feed forward (FF) controller
- Feedback (FB) control
- Model-based control



### **FF control – timing based**



Stable walking due to good hardware design



### **Simple feedback control**

- Regulating the step time of the robot.
- The controller changes the valve opening times to control the step time to a desired value.
- $\bullet$ Experiments: valve opening time  $\uparrow \rightarrow$  step time  $\uparrow$
- OpenTime =OpenTime + K (Desired2steps –Last2steps)
- Using 2 steps to compensate for asymmetry



#### **Simple feedback control - experiment**

July 19, 2006  $\,$  .  $\,$ 

DCSC

- One walking period = two steps should be 1000 ms
- Too long step times are ignored for control

**UDelft** 



#### **Walking experiment**



#### **Simplest walker model**

Continuous time model with linear spring  $k$  at the hip: [Gracia '98, Kuo '02]  $\bullet$  $\theta(t) - \sin \theta(t) = 0$  $\ddot{\phi}(t) = \ddot{\theta}(t) - \dot{\theta}$  $\ddot{\phi}(t) - \ddot{\theta}(t) - \dot{\theta}^2(t) \sin \phi(t) + \cos \theta(t) \sin \phi(t) = -k\phi(t)$  $-\theta(t)-\theta^2(t)\sin\phi(t)+\cos\theta(t)\sin\phi(t)=$ walkingм-Linearized system for small angles:  $\begin{bmatrix} \dot{\theta} \ \ddot{\theta} \end{bmatrix}_{\equiv} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \theta \ \dot{\theta} \end{bmatrix}$ & $\theta$  | | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | |  $\theta$  $0\quad 1\quad 0\quad 0$  $\ddot{a}$  | 1 0 0  $\ddot{b}$  $\theta$  | | 1 | 0 | 0 |  $\theta$ 10 0 0  $\begin{bmatrix} \theta \\ \dot{\phi} \\ \dot{\phi} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & -\omega^2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \theta \\ \phi \\ \dot{\phi} \end{bmatrix}$ & $\phi$  | | 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1 ||  $\phi$  $0\quad 0\quad 1$  $\ddot{a}$  | 1 0  $-a^2$  0  $d$ 2  $\phi$  | | 1 0  $-\omega^2$  0 ||  $\phi$  $1\quad 0\quad -\omega^2\quad 0$ swing leg stance  $\omega \Box \sqrt{k+1}$ leq - m July 19, 2006 20 DCSC **Delft** 

#### **Simplest walker model - simulation**

- Simple model, made asymmetric
- Odd and even steps are different
- Hip spring  $k$  controls frequency and step time

**fUDelft** 

DCSC



### **Predict one step ahead**

- $\bullet$  The simplest walker model should simulate the next step and the resulting **hip angle** and **step time** can be used for FB control.
- Inputs are the initial conditions of the step:
	- Measured hip angle, stance leg angle
	- Estimated angular velocities
- Problem: Behavior of robot changes gradually
- •The result of prediction is not good yet



## **Final idea for controller**

- Simple FB controller as described before; regulating the step time
- Additionally reflex-like behavior:
	- If a step is too short due to a disturbance, the next step will be made longer by opening the valves longer
- If time allows I like to give a demonstration later



### **Conclusions**

- • The matlab simulation can be used to simulate the walking behavior of the Que-kaku
	- It can be adapted to other robots as well
	- It can be used to test new controllers
- McKibben muscle model is easy to implement
- Feedback can improve the walking behavior of the robot
	- The walking behavior can be automatically changed
	- The robot can handle larger disturbances



### **Thank you for your attention**

**& Any questions are welcome** 

Everybody is welcome for the goodbye-dinner, tomorrow!

**EXECTE Also after I return to Holland, July 24, please keep in** contact: m.j.p.wit@student.tudelft.nl

