

Delft University of Technology

MSc Biomedical Engineering, MSc Marine Technology, MSc Materials Science and Engineering,

MSc Mechanical Engineering, MSc Offshore Engineering and MSc Systems and Control

Internship Review

Name of student	St. Nr.	Course code	EC	Date

Title of report	Company / Research Group of internship	Direct supervisor of Company / Research Group	

Colloquium

Description	Aspect	Mark	Comments
Was the presentation adequately structured?	Structure		
Was the presentation audible and lively presented, making use of adequate audiovisual aids?	Presentation skills		
What was the general impression of the presentation? Did the presentation provide a sufficient impression of the work? Did the presenter stick to the allotted time (20-25 minutes)?	Overall impression		

Internship Report Content

Description	Aspect	Grade	Comments
Does the title of the report reflect the topic sufficiently and clearly?	Title		
Does the abstract contain a sufficient summary of the work?	Abstract		
Does the introduction present the importance of the topic and position it based on recent literature?	Relevance		
Does the introduction present a clear objective?	Objective		
Is the processing of information original and technically correct?	Processing & Innovativeness		
Is the analysis clear and is the discussion original and technically correct?	Analysis & Discussion		
Does the report cover the relevant topics adequately?	Completeness		
Are the conclusions sound and justifiable?	Conclusion		
Does the report evaluate the societal and ethical effects of the work?	Societal & ethical aspects		

Internship Report Organization & Clarity in Writing

Theories to port or garinzation a blanty in thirting				
Description	Aspect	Grade	Comments	
Is the content of the report properly organized (chapters, sections)? including: title page, abstract, nomenclature, introduction, methods, results, discussion, conclusion, page numbering	Organization			
Is the presentation clear to readers familiar with the field?	Presentation			
Is the report free of the typographical and grammatical errors?	English			



Delft University of T MSc Biomedical Engine MSc Mechanical Engine	echnology ering, MSc Marine 1 ering, MSc Offsh	Technology ore Engine	, MSc Materia ering and	ls Science and Engineering, MSc Systems and Control
is the acknowledgement of the work of	Bibliography			
others by references and citations done				
properly?				
Are the figures and tables all necessary and	Illustrations &			
acceptable? Are all figures and tables	Tables			
readable independently of the body text and				
referred to in the body text?				
Are all equations clear and symbols explicitly	Equations &			
described? Is the notation consistent with the	Nomenclature			
common literature?				

Internship Process

Description	Aspect	Grade	Comments
Was the student able to deal with the responsibilities associated with the work?	Reliability		
Did the student show his/her motivation /interest/ enthusiasm?	Motivation		
Degree of supervision required by the student	Initiative		
Was the student productive? Did he/she work in an accurate and structured way?	Time management		
Did the student show good engineering skills? (experimental, computational)	Engineering skills		
Was the student creative?	Creativity		
Did the student show good critical thinking skills?	Critical thinking		
Was the student competent in working in a multidisciplinary environment?	Team work & communication		

Grade of reviewer	Final Grade
	Grade of reviewer

Notice that grades for each aspect are given in the scale from 1.0 to 10.0. Where 1.0 is very poor, 6.0 is satisfactory and 10.0 is excellent. The final mark is a number on the scale between 1-10 rounded to the nearest 0.5.